In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh.

CWP No. 819 of 2007

Date of Decision: 18.01.2007

Amit Batta

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others.

....Respondents.

Coram:- Hon'ble Mr.Justice J.S. Khehar.
Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.D. Anand.

Present: Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

J.S. Khehar, J. (Oral).

An advertisement appeared in 'The Tribune' dated 9.3.2006, inviting applications for the posts of Computer Faculty. Considering himself eligible for appointment against the posts advertised, the petitioner submitted an application well before the last date of submission of application forms. Despite the claim of consideration at the hands of the petitioner, the respondents published a list of rejected candidates on 17.12.2006 (Annexure P-3). The aforesaid list includes the name of the petitioner. The petitioner is aggrieved on account of rejection of his candidature for appointment against the post of Computer Faculty.

A perusal of the pleadings of the instant writ petition reveals, that the petitioner had submitted a representation dated 23.12.2006 (Annexure P-4), substantiating his eligibility for appointment against the post of Computer Faculty. It is stated by the learned counsel for the

petitioner, that the representation submitted by the petitioner has not been considered till date. He further states, that the petitioner will be satisfied, if the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. the Director of Public Instructions (Schools), Punjab, requiring him to take a final decision on the aforesaid representation dated 23.12.2006 (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Learned counsel for the respondents states, that he has no objection to the disposal of the instant writ petition in terms of the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner, we consider it just and appropriate, to dispose of the instant writ petition, by directing respondent No.2 i.e. the Director of Public Instructions (Schools), Punjab, to take a final decision on the representation submitted by the petitioner on 23.12.2006 (Annexure P-4) by passing a well reasoned speaking order, within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order dasti on payment of usual charges.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge.

(S.D. Anand)
Judge.

18.01.2007
sk.